

### Ecosystem Services Assessment at Watershed Scale using InVEST Guy Ziv Lead Scientist Natural Capital Project







INSTITUTE ON THE ENVIRONMENT

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Driven to Discover







#### ENVIRONMENT

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Driven to Discover\*\*

#### **The Natural Capital Project**

Incorporating ecosystem services in decisions



Ruckelshaus et al. in review

5.000

10,000 Kilometers

Spatial Planning Payment for Ecosystem Services (PES) Climate Adaptation Planning Development Impacts and Permitting Restoration Planning Corporate Risk Management

### InVEST – Integrated Valuation of Ecosystem Services and Tradeoffs

- Ecosystem services are the goods and benefits society derives from ecosystems
- Examples: Water, Food, Energy (biomass), Pollination, Biodiversity
- Most ecosystem services cannot be directly measured, or are insufficiently monitored or reported
- The InVEST toolset is a science-based free opensource GIS software for modeling and mapping ecosystem services

## **InVEST Principles**

- Developed for users considering holistic integrated multiple ecosystem services
- Land-use/land-cover based GIS models
- Incorporate models of the biophysical function (supply), the beneficiaries utilization (service) and <u>optionally</u> economic valuation
- Depending on data and resources availability, can be applied at different levels, for anything from screening to planning to compliance (albeit limited)

#### InVEST Models for Watersheds

- Nutrient Retention
- Habitat Quality
- Annual Water Yield
- Sediment Retention
- Habitat Risk Assessment
- Monthly Water Yield
- Flood Mitigation
- Recreation
- Aesthetic Value
- More InVEST models exists, as well as a suite of marine/coastal models. Check our website for full list www.naturalcapitalproject.org

#### **Nutrient Retention**

- Mainly aimed to assess reduction of N and P loading into freshwater by riparian buffers
- Inputs are loading and retention per LULC class
- The service performed by riparian vegetation is retaining N and P applied upstream (demand), decreasing treatment cost for downstream users (value)



# Valuation



## Habitat Quality

- Managing the quality of habitat allows for us to manage the associated species
- Produces a map of habitat quality
- Habitat is a function of conservation objective
  - Are we considering all species or just specific species?
    All animals on the landscape or just threatened ones?
- Threats to habitat can be divided into two major categories
  - Actual removal of habitat or edge fragmentation
  - Sources of pollution (e.g., noise), roads, power lines, etc. that degrade the integrity of habitat

#### Model Overview



Threat

### **Alternative Models**

- Heuristic models (weighted overlay, crayon and paper approach)
  - Expert knowledge
  - Not statistical
- Statistical models (MaxEnt, logistic regression, CART, ANN)
  - Often data intensive
  - Output is probability of occurrence or conversion
- Why the InVEST model?
  - Requires basic data that is widely available
  - Habitat approach can encompass multiple species
  - Compare scenarios to a baseline
  - Incorporate the spatial impacts of threats

## Model Inputs

- Inputs
  - Map of each threat
  - Relative weights of threats (0.0 to 1.0)
  - Spatial impact of threats
  - Land use/land cover
    - habitat/nonhabitat
    - sensitivity of each habitat type to each threat
    - accessibility of habitat to threat (social, political, geographical restrictions)



# Validation of InVEST to GAP



#### MN GAP Forest Bird Species Richness by InVEST Habitat Quality Score





#### Annual Water Yield



#### **Sediment Retention**

- Quantify sediment exported and retained on a landscape
- Uses USLE (with some RUSLE modifications) to estimate water erosion
- Valuation avoided dredging cost, avoided water treatment



# Targeting investments: which activities?

#### natural capital



# Targeting investments: where to invest?

natural capital PROJECT



# Erosion control returns for targeting investments

natural

capital



#### Habitat Risk Assessment



#### Habitat Risk Assessment

#### Exposure

Spatial overlap	3
Temporal overlap	1
Intensity	2
Management	3
effectiveness	5

#### Weighted average data quality importance

#### Consequence

Change in area	3
Change in structure	3
Frequency of natural disturbance	2
Natural mortality	3
Recruitment	1
Age at maturity/ recovery time	1
Connectivity	2
Weighted average data quality importance	

## Calculation of Risk





#### Spider web trade-off diagrams



e.g., Sumatra





#### Thanks!

• Questions?

• Contact – Guy Ziv (guyziv@stanford.edu)